



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,457	04/09/2001	David Bordeleau	10442-17US JA/IC	6909

20988 7590 01/29/2004  
OGILVY RENAULT  
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE  
SUITE 1600  
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3  
CANADA

EXAMINER
----------

CHUNG, DANIEL J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2672

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/828,457

Applicant(s)

BORDELEAU ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel J Chung

Art Unit

2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-12 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 11-7-2003.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woodham, Jr. et al. (6,069,668)**

Regarding claim 1, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that the claimed feature of a method for generating a sequence of object definition data sets for a video particle explosion effect comprising: providing a graphics image data file of a particle pattern defining a shape of a plurality of particles; generating a sequence of object definition data sets using graphics image data file; wherein object definition data sets can be used

Art Unit: 2672

to render a particle explosion effect on a video file. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Fig 9, col 1 line 30-42, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34, col 12 line 17-24)

Regarding claims 2-3, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that graphics image data file has a plurality of channels, which are a red channel, a green channel, a blue channel and an alpha channel. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, col 1 line 56-col 2 line 17, col 5 line 22-34)

Regarding claim 4, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that graphics image data file defines at least one parameter of an explosion sequence for all of plurality of particles, a spin parameter for each of plurality of particles and a softness of edges of each plurality of particles and wherein shape, explosion sequence, spin parameter and softness are each defined in one of plurality of channels. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, col 1 line 56-col 2 line 17, col 5 line 22-34)

Regarding claim 5, Woodham, Jr. et al disclose that particle pattern is a shattered glass pattern. (See col 1 line 62-67, col 5 line 22-34)

Regarding claims 6-8, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that a step of drawing graphics image data file, a step of drawing comprises defining an edge for plurality of particles and filling up each of plurality of particles with a different color, and the step of drawing each channel of graphics image data file and wherein each parameter is defined by drawing colors in each channel. (See Fig 7-9, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34)

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claims 9-10, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that identifying a plurality of triangles for each particle and storing shape information from each triangle in object definition data sets, and identifying a plurality of triangles for each particle and storing parameter information from each triangle in object definition data sets, parameter information being extracted from each channel. (See Fig 7-9, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34)

Regarding claim 11, claim 11 is similar in scope to the claim 1, and thus the rejection to claim 1 hereinabove is also applicable to claim 11.

Regarding claim 12, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that loading each field of video source data file into a graphics engine; loading a corresponding one of sequence of object definition data sets into graphics engine; generating a particle exploded video output using field and corresponding object definition data sets. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Fig 9, col 1 line 30-42, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34, col 12 line 17-24)

**Claims 1 and 11 are once again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (5,225,824)**

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claim 1, Yamamoto et al discloses that the claimed feature of a method for generating a sequence of object definition data sets for a video particle explosion effect comprising: providing a graphics image data file of a particle pattern defining a shape of a plurality of particles; generating a sequence of object definition data sets using graphics image data file; wherein object definition data sets can be used to render a particle explosion effect on a video file. (See Fig 2, col 1 line 48-54, col 5 line 22-35, col 5 line 59-col 6 line 59)

Regarding claim 11, claim 11 is similar in scope to the claim 1, and thus the rejection to claim 1 hereinabove is also applicable to claim 11.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-3419. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:30am- 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael, Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713.

Art Unit: 2672

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

djc  
January 14, 2004



**MICHAEL RAZAVI  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**